

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,167	02/14/2002	Jerry Zucker	534P011c/p	8019
7:	590 05/06/2003		•	
Kevin S. Lemack			EXAMINER	
Nields & Lema 176 E. Main St			ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
Westboro, MA 01581			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\	7			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/075,167	ZUCKER, JERRY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
•	Raymond Alejandro	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status	5 / 0000					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 i						
, -	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re-	ply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)		GIMPOLIEL,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/075,167

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-41, drawn to a battery separator made of distinct materials, classified in class 429, subclass 144.
 - II. Claim 42, drawn to a valve-regulated lead-acid battery having specific components, classified in class 429, subclass 53.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the battery does not required the particular separator, that is, a working lead-acid battery comprising a separator having only a single layer or a separator made from a different material can be made to be fully operational. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing a degree of separation between components having different polarity.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, further restriction is required. Thus, applicant must elect one of the groups above. However, if Group I is finally elected, applicant must also elect one of the species below as well.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention, the following species have been identified:
 - Species 1: embodiment wherein the fibrous layer is made of glass fibers;
 - Species 2: embodiment wherein the fibrous layer consists of polymeric fibers;
- Species 3: embodiment wherein the fibrous layer comprises a mixture of glass fibers and polymeric fibers;
 - Species 4: embodiment wherein the support layer consists of a polymer;
 - Species 5: embodiment wherein the support layer consists of a glass fiber fabric;
 - Species 6: embodiment wherein the support layer consist of a polymer fiber fabric;
 - Species 7: embodiment wherein the support layer consists of a polymer fiber fleece layer;
- Species 8: embodiment wherein the support layer consists of a fleece layer containing polymer fibers and glass fibers;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that claim 1 is only generic, further clarification is required.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable



Application/Control Number: 10/075,167

Art Unit: 1745

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Kevin S. Lemack on 04/29/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/075,167

Art Unit: 1745

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro Examiner

Art Unit 1745